

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/662,078 09/12/2003		09/12/2003	Reginald Charles Allsopp	2003-1991.ORI	1943
22476	7590	03/09/2006	EXAMINER		
	N LAW FII		PUROL, DAVID M		
	TH EIGHTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				3634	7 7

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/662,078	ALLSOPP, REGINALD CHARLES	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	David M. Purol	. 3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be still apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on the Responsive to communication (s) filed on the Responsive to communication (action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6,8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So on is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received.	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/662,078 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Cheng-Pei. Cheng-Pei discloses a control unit comprising a sprocket wheel 3, a chain guard housing 7, a sprocket support 1, a wrap spring 4, a splined bush 5, a center pin 6 having fins 62,621. Cheng-Pei further discloses a stopper element 73 and ratchet wheel 2 which fully responds to the claimed first and second engagement features.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng-Pei in view of Nijs. While Cheng-Pei does not disclose the wrap spring as having a non-circular cross section, Nijs discloses a wrap spring 18 which has a non-circular cross section, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the wrap spring of Cheng-Pei so as to obtain the advantages of a wrap spring with a non-circular cross section would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

3. Claims 1-5,7 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3634

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David M. Purol at telephone number (571) 272-6833.

David M Pulol Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

DMP (571) 272-6833 March 3, 2006